

2). Two areas were subject to investigation: a corridor to the west of the earlier quarry, for the installation of a haul road, and a rectangular area to the east of the earlier quarry. The evaluation consisted of eleven trial trenches. All trenches were 100m x 2m, with the exception of Trench 2, which measured 200m x 2m (see Figure 4). The topsoil was stripped, and visible features were cleaned, excavated and recorded (Dalland 1995).

3.2.1 Fieldwork results

The Flasks (Trenches 1 to 3)

Work on the area of the Flasks revealed a total of four features of potential archaeological interest, comprising two linear features and two sub-circular pits. Of these, one of the pits (Cut 102) and one of the ditches (Cut 104) produced modern material, and the second ditch (Cut 106) was identified as an old hedgerow. The final pit produced no datable remains.

Haul Road (Trenches 4 to 6)

Within Trenches 4 to 6, apart from a modern field drain in Trench 4, the only identifiable features were two sub-rectangular pits identified in Trench 6 (Cut 603, Cut 605). Two samples from these features revealed that they contained carbonised hazelnut shells and charcoal, as well as a flint artefacts (Holden 1995). Analysis of the flint remains suggested that they would not be out of place in a Neolithic context, but that they could not be used to ascribe a secure date (Rowe 1998; Appendix C: Part 1).

Eastern end of the old quarry pit (Trenches 7 to 11)

Within Trenches 7 to 11, just three features of archaeological potential were identified, comprising a circular pit (Cut 702), the butt-end of a ditch (Cut 1001) and a linear feature (Cut 1103), of which none produced diagnostic or dateable finds.


3.2.2 Assessment

Given the proximity of Investigation 2 to the known monument complexes of Thornborough, the lack of archaeological features identified in this area was notable. The potential of the area to produce prehistoric features was suggested by the environmental evidence and flints produced from pits (Cut 603 and Cut 605), but such features appear to have been very widely dispersed throughout the area. The excavation of trenches covered a total area of 2400m², and only two recorded features provided material indicative of a potentially early date; perhaps significantly, these were found in the same trench.

4.0 WATCHING BRIEF

4.1 INVESTIGATION 3 (AREA 1, 2 AND 3, TRENCH 4)

Also in 1995, a watching brief was undertaken during the stripping of topsoil in an area of Nosterfield Quarry previously evaluated by Trenches 7 to 11 of Investigation 2 (Quarry Phase 1a, 5b)(Figure 5). For the purposes of recording, this area was divided into Areas 1 and 2. A watching brief was also maintained on the construction of the haul road, referred to as Area 3, which had been covered by Trenches 4 to 6. The results of this fieldwork



KEY

QUARRY BOUNDARY

WATCHING BRIEF

FURTHER EVALUATION

3

Old Quarry

Nosterfield

SE2981

Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.

Field Archaeology, Specialised Use University of York Kings Meadow, Licence No. AL100002780

Location of Investigation 3

Scale 1:10000



Figure 5



were reported in an earlier document, on which the following summary is based (Gledhill and Griffiths 1995).

4.1.1 Fieldwork procedure

The upper portion of the topsoil was removed using box scrapers which was a common practice on quarries in this period. The remaining topsoil was removed using large back-acting excavators fitted with a wide, toothless ditching bucket. Initially any anomaly was marked and mapped, and if considered to be a genuine feature, was excavated in half-section and recorded. In Area 1, this strategy was revised in the light of the number of features being revealed, and the speed of the topsoil stripping. Where groups of features existed, then at least one of each size was planned and sectioned. The others were located, and sectioned when working conditions allowed, or were quickly excavated to recover any material; machines were used to section the sink holes (Appendix A).

Due to the soft nature of the marl in Area 3, the topsoil and marl were excavated together, which reduced the potential for identifying archaeological features. Where possible, these were noted and recorded.

The cut numbers originally assigned are used as feature numbers for the purposes of this report, and are used to refer to the cut and related deposits as a group.

4.1.2 Fieldwork results

AREA 1 (TRENCH 4)


Area 1 was the largest of the three, and covered an area of approximately 6.2 hectares to the east of the old quarry workings and south of Ladybridge Farm, bounded to the east and south by roads. This land occupies the end of a low ridge, which extends southwards beyond the B6267 Nosterfield road. At the western part of the site, the land was found to slope away sharply towards the edge of a relict lake.

Topsoil stripping in this area revealed an orange-brown subsoil, up to 0.50m thick, over clean grey sands, grits and gravels, which were exposed in places. On the western edge of the area, where the ground surface sloped towards the in-filled lake, strips of desiccated peat were exposed which possibly marked the edge of the Pleistocene lake. Elsewhere, one large area of heavier clay was noted (50m east-west by 30m north-south). Almost the entire surface of the subsoil was found to be covered with parallel lines of disturbance 0.20m wide and 0.50m apart, which were identified as modern subsoiling. The area of clay was also cut by a series of stone-filled land drains which produced no dating evidence but which are considered to be post-medieval in date.

Pits and hearths

During excavations, a series of 83 anomalies were identified, of which 38 were excavated and recorded in detail. The remainder were located and rapidly excavated in order to retrieve dating evidence, but not fully recorded, due to time constraints. The features were found to be distributed across Area 1, forming two loose groups to the north and south (Figure 6). Within this distribution, two smaller clusters of features could be identified (Figures 7 and 8). No features were revealed on the area of clay, or on the lower slopes leading to the relict lake.

The section drawings of the 38 sectioned features reveals them to have been pits (35) or scoops (3), of which 13 were interpreted as hearths on the basis of heat affected soils or charcoal-rich fills, and the remaining 70 were




Investigation 3 (Trench 4, Area 1) map of archaeological features

Scale 1:1250







Figure 6



Investigation 3 pit complex hachure plans


Scale 1:50



Investigation 3 pit complex sections




Scale 1:50

Figure 7



Investigation 3 hachure plans of 1069, 1079 and 1081

Scale 1:50



Investigation 3 sections of 1068, 1080 and 1082

Scale 1:50

Figure 8

therefore classed as pits.

Features which might tentatively be identified as hearths include F1061, F1069 and F1081, the cuts of which were described as slightly heat affected soil beneath a fire. Other anomalies which appear to have been scorched, and were interpreted on site as possible hearths, included some rapidly excavated features (F1085, F1095, F1100 and F1102). Other features which are described as containing patches of soil burnt a dull brick red (F1041 and F1115) are probably pits which produced evidence of burnt material (for example F1051 produced charred hazelnut shells and burnt bone). F1051 is described as being made up of a series of features.

The pits varied in size and shape. Three different types were identified by the excavators (Type 1-3) based upon the number and the character of the fill, and the presence of finds. Type 1 pits were found to be the most common, consisting of regular U- or V-shaped profile, with a diameter of between 0.80m and 1.20m, and ranging from 0.20 to 0.80m in recorded depth. This type typically had three fills, with a sterile lower backfill, and finds of flint and rare ceramic fragments in the upper fills. The upper fill was usually stony or contained frequent burnt stones and occasional charcoal; the middle fill was charcoal-rich; the lower fill was also stony and produced only occasional charcoal, although burnt stone was rare or absent. Nine pits of Type 1 were excavated (F1005, F1015, F1030, F1057, F1066, F1210, F1216, F1313, F1321), and six other anomalies may also belong to this group (F1052, F1089, F1092, F1099, F1112, F1398).

Type 2 pits (F1009, F1010, F1012, F1016, F1017) contained two fills, lacking the 'bowl shaped second fill of Type 1'; the upper fill was a less stony silty matrix which produced occasional burnt stone and charcoal; the lower fill was stony with a coarse sand component. Finds were recovered mostly from the upper fills of these features.

Type 3 pits were smaller, with a base that was level or slightly dished (F1069, F1074, F1307). The upper fill was sandy and virtually stone free, with few charcoal flecks, but the lower fill was slightly siltier, with occasional fragments of burnt stone and more charcoal. Finds were mostly in the lower fill; pottery was common with flint less common. Of these examples, records suggest that F1074 and F1069 had only one fill, which may indicate that they may in fact belong with the remainder of the features which were not assigned to any type.

F1004 was the only pit to be fully excavated and recorded. It had apparently been re-cut with sandstone slabs positioned in the base of the re-cut. Possible re-cuts were observed in two other pits, F1011 and F1305, and a flat fire-scorched stone was found in a horizontal position close to the surface of F1009.

F1305 was a large sub-rectangular pit, and represented the only feature on the site with significant stratigraphy. The primary fill, C1304, was composed of a loose, fine, ashy material with frequent small stones and gravel. It darkened gradually toward the base from grey to almost black; much of the stone content was burnt. The upper fill, C1302, appeared to be a re-deposited subsoil (C1303). It had been cut on the north side by a re-cut F1301, filled with a grey to black deposit which consisted mostly of charcoal. Rounded pebble-sized stones which were reddened and cracked were common, particularly toward the base of the fill.

Of the remaining 45 features which were not fully recorded, 38 were identified as pits, and 7 as possible hearths.

Generally, these features are undated, although Neolithic dates have been suggested for two, on the basis of ceramic or lithic material.

Possible Sink Holes

F1117 and F1118 were two large circular features, both of which were sectioned by machine. The northern feature, F1118 was vertical-sided, 2.00m in diameter and over 1.50m deep. It contained a mid-brown loamy sand. The southern pit, F1117, was 3.00m in diameter and over 1.00m deep and several fills were noted. The upper fill was a mid-brown sand, 0.40m thick, although on the surface was a discontinuous spread of charcoal and burnt soil; below this were successive fills of stony material.

Finds

Nineteen of the excavated features produced finds of prehistoric date. The material consisted primarily of pottery, of which the most diagnostic fabrics are identified as Grimston Ware, Peterborough Ware and Grooved Ware (Plate 1), and lithics (Appendix D: Part 1). Although much of the lithic assemblage was largely undiagnostic, those elements that were datable are considered to be not earlier than the Neolithic, and all of the tools were concurrent with use in the early and later Neolithic. Two samples were subject to environmental analysis revealed the presence of cereal grains, probably barley, along with apple/pear seeds and hazelnut (Appendix E: Part 1).

Seventeen out of the 38 excavated features produced lithic material. Most of the features produced less than 20 pieces, although two, F1011 (33), F1216 (46), produced more. One of the unrecorded features, F1096 (340), produced a substantially greater amount (Appendix C: Part 1). A number of fragments were noted to have been burnt, but as they generally occurred with unburnt flint, this cannot be considered evidence for *in situ* burning. Of the diagnostic fragments, an end scraper knapped from a polished handaxe was identified in pit F1074 and identified as Neolithic; a similar date is suggested by a leaf point (F1018), a leaf butted arrowhead (F1069), and a volcanic rock saw (F1313; Plate 2).

The ceramic material allows for some chronological distinction to be made between the features. Of the 19 features to have produced ceramic, two produced earlier Neolithic Grimston Ware, both of which are located at the southern end of the site. Subsequent activity, evidenced by later Neolithic wares, is found throughout Area 1 (Plate 3).



Plate 1 Peterborough ware



Plate 2 Volcanic rock saw



Plate 3 Late Neolithic grooved ware

AREA 2

Area 2 is recorded to have been located to the south of the Flasks, and west of the old gravel pit, and probably represents topsoil stripping of the northern part of the haul road to the processing plant. Topsoiling of the area revealed a sand and gravel subsoil similar in character to that in Area 1. Three features were described situated on the better drained, higher ground. F2001 was a poorly defined feature with an irregular base. It measured L0.70m x W0.45m and was 0.17m deep. It contained a brown sandy silt fill with occasional small stones and flecks of charcoal. Some 70m further north was a sub-circular feature, F2002, 0.75m diameter and 0.60m deep, which had steep sides and a rounded base and contained two fills. About 15m north of this there was a small, superficial spread of charcoal and burnt stone, F2003. The charcoal formed three small, discrete patches about 0.30m in diameter.

AREA 3

A third area (Area 3) was stripped to form a new haul road which ran north from the main Nosterfield road to the processing site, west of the old quarry workings. The topsoil consisted of a desiccated peat, mixed with a small amount of marl, probably as a result of ploughing. Beneath the topsoil were patches of better preserved peat and a thicker deposit of marl. Where it was exposed in side channels at the south and north ends of the area the marl was at least 0.40m thick, in the centre it overlay waterlogged sands and gravels at a depth of 1.00m.

Several features were noted during the topsoil stripping. A low bank made of stone was observed in the southwest corner of the area, aligned approximately north-south. A mature ash tree grew on this close to the northern end of the bank. North of it a shallow, flat-bottomed ditch was defined on a similar alignment to the bank. These features were interpreted as a recent field boundary.

To the east of the field boundary were four sub-circular pits filled with deposits of dark brown peat. These were identified as natural sink holes, and as such were not recorded as archaeological features. Three of the pits were grouped at the northern end and one at the southern end of Area 3. These features varied in diameter from 1.20 to 1.50m and in depth from 0.70m to over 1.50m.

4.1.3 Assessment

The watching brief revealed a scatter of features, pits and scoops and possibly hearths around the margins of a former lake which had apparently largely silted up by the Neolithic. The features are all that remains of what appears to be occupation near the margins of the relict lake, close to natural sink holes, which may have contained standing water or been localised patches of marshy ground. Although occasionally grouped together in small clusters, the pits and scoops do not form any coherent pattern, nor is there anything to suggest that activity was continuous, but it is perhaps noteworthy that the features which produced earlier ceramics are located at the southern end of the site.

4.2 INVESTIGATION 4 (TRENCH 5)

A watching brief in the spring of 1996 (Trench 5) revealed a scatter of features and recent animal burials. Trench 5 was a rectangular area 204m east-west by 77m north-south, situated south of the old quarry workings and approximately 100m north of the B6267 Nosterfield road (Quarry Phase 2a). It covered an area of 1.52

hectares, and had not been the subject of any previous archaeological investigation (Figure 9).

4.2.1 Fieldwork Procedure

Topsoil from the site was stripped using back-acting excavators, fitted with toothless ditching buckets. Features were identified and mapped following topsoil stripping, and a representative sample excavated and recorded.

4.2.2 Fieldwork Results

The watching brief identified a number of features scattered widely across the area of investigation, of which two appear to have been spreads (C5003 and C5034), two were identified as vegetation pits (F5037 and F3038), and the remainder comprised a ditch and a series of scoops and pits (Figure 10).

Land drains and modern animal burials

Beneath the topsoil, at least four linear field drains crossed the area on a north-south axis. No finds were recovered from these and they are assumed to be of recent date. They appear to drain water to the north. One ditch, F5007, was also considered to be modern, and therefore was not fully mapped. A series of modern animal burials were also identified; nine contained sheep and two cow skeletons, which were clustered around the northern edge of the area.

Spreads


Two unexcavated spreads were mapped (C5003 and C5034), but were found to represent natural anomalies, and were not recorded further.

Pits and scoops

Thirteen features were excavated, distributed widely across the area with loose clusters formed by two or more features. Two more possible pits were identified but not recorded further (F5009 and F5026). Apart from three shallow scoops, all of the features were pits (Appendix A).

As a group, the excavated features were small, and all but two were found to be sub-circular or oval features, with a diameter of less than 1.00m and a depth of between 0.05m and 0.40m. F5021 and F5035 were found to be larger, sub-rectangular features measuring 1.78m x 0.55m and 1.30m x 0.52m respectively. No more than two fills were identified in each feature, and very few finds were recovered (Figures 11 and 12).

A small group of prehistoric finds (lithics and pottery) was recovered from F5004, F5013 and F5035. Very small fragments of prehistoric pottery were recovered from F5013 (C5014); diagnostic fragments were identified as late Neolithic Peterborough Ware together with a few pieces of waste flint. F5035 (C5036) produced a serrated blade and a bifacially worked tool, possibly an unfinished leaf or chisel-shaped arrowhead, dating to the late Neolithic or early Bronze Age. One other piece of flint debitage came from F5004 (C5005) and a scraper was recovered from an unstratified context (Appendix C: Part 1).



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.


Field Archaeology Specialists Ltd University of York Kings Meadow, Licence No. AL100002780

Location of Investigation 4

Scale 1:10000



Figure 9



KEY


- Field drain
- Natural feature
- Soil sample

Investigation 4 map of archaeological features



Scale 1:750



Figure 10



8441/480






8441/470

Investigation 4 hachure plans of 5024, 5025 and 5026

Scale 1:50



Figure 11



Investigation 4 sections of 5024, 5025 and 5026

Scale 1:20

Figure 12

4.2.3 Assessment

The features, and the finds recovered, suggest that the archaeology of this area was similar in character to that identified in Investigation 3 (Area 1). Again, the recorded remains were sparsely scattered, making identification of any spatial variation problematic.

4.3 INVESTIGATION 5 (WYAS)

In late January 1997 a watching brief was undertaken within an area, 60m by 7m, along the eastern side of Trench 5 (Quarry Phase 2a). No archaeological remains were identified, and no finds retrieved (Figure 13; Appendix F: Part 1).

4.3.1 Fieldwork procedure

The area was stripped of topsoil using a tracked back-acting mechanical excavator, during which time close archaeological supervision was maintained. The topsoil was stripped and scanned for finds, and subsequently, the subsoil was removed in a series of controlled spits down to the level of natural gravel. This surface was then inspected for archaeological features.

4.3.2 Fieldwork results

No finds were recovered, and no archaeological features were identified.

4.3.3 Assessment


Given the dispersed nature of the archaeological features identified in nearby investigations, it is perhaps not surprising that archaeological features were not identified within such a small area of investigation; it is possible that archaeological remains had simply not survived in this area.

4.4 INVESTIGATION 6

During July 1997, WYAS were commissioned to undertake a gradiometer survey of a 1 hectare area between Investigation 5 (Trench 5) and the Nosterfield road (B6267) (Quarry Phase 2a) (Figure 14). The survey aimed to ascertain whether gradiometry represented a suitable evaluation technique on the local gravel geology, and to assess the nature of any remains that could be identified.

4.4.1 Fieldwork procedure

The magnetometer survey was undertaken using a Geoscan FM36 fluxgate gradiometer. Readings were taken at 0.50m intervals along 1.00m traverses. Details of methodology and technical information are detailed in the report produced by WYAS (Appendix F: Part 2)



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.


Field Archaeology Specialists Ltd University of York Kings Meadow, Lincoln, Lincolnshire LN4 100002780

Location of Investigation 5

Scale 1:10000



Figure 13



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.

Field Archaeology, Specialised Use University of York Kings Meadow, Licence No. AL100002780

Location of Investigation 6

Scale 1:10000



Figure 14



4.4.2 Fieldwork results

Three types of anomaly were identified during this survey: 'iron spikes', positive magnetic anomalies identified as possible pits, and a more general area of enhanced readings. 'Iron spikes' were common across the site, and are generally interpreted as ferrous material on the ground surface and in the topsoil. As such, they were not of archaeological interest. Three isolated responses were identified which were interpreted as possible negative features such as pits. Two of these anomalies were identified at the northeastern edge of the survey area, while a third was found to the west. A linear feature was identified to the east of the area, which was interpreted as a plastic pipe. Immediately to the south of the latter features, an area of enhanced readings was identified, possibly reflecting burning in this area.

4.4.3 Assessment

It was concluded from this survey that both discrete and linear features could be identified on the local gravel substrate. The nature and function of these features, however, remained uncertain, and the results of subsequent watching briefs in the same area demonstrated archaeological features to be much denser than the results of the magnetometer survey suggested.

4.5 INVESTIGATION 7 (TRENCH 7)

The watching brief was carried out on the south side of the quarry in the spring of 1997. The investigation covered a rectangular area of approximately 0.2 hectares, measuring 63m NE-SW by 32m NW-SE (Quarry Phase 2a). The northern limit of investigation abutted that of Investigation 4, and the site extended southwards to within 23m of the Nosterfield road (Figure 15). The results revealed that the surviving archaeological features were much denser than suggested by the gradiometer survey, and revealed a pit alignment running for much of the length of the intervention.


4.5.1 Fieldwork procedure

Topsoil was stripped using a tracked mechanical excavator under archaeological supervision. All archaeological features were mapped, and the majority were then half-sectioned and recorded.

4.5.2 Fieldwork Results

A total of 46 features were recorded, all of which were identified as pits. Of these, a total of 37 were found to form a pit alignment (Structure 12), which extended for 68m in an ESE-WSW direction, curving northwards at its northern edge, and apparently continuing beyond the southern limit of investigation (Figure 16; Appendix A, B).

Of the 37 pits which make up the pit alignment, most were oval or sub-circular in plan, although F7002 at the south end was sub-rectangular (Figure 17 and 18). The pits were spaced at intervals which varied from 0.60 to 1.20m; the greatest distance between excavated pits measured 1.80m (F7002 to F7003). There are two examples of pits which appear to abut or cut one another, suggesting some chronological depth in the use of the pit



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.


Field Archaeology, Specialised Use University of York Kings Meadow, York YO1 100002780

Location of Investigation 7

Scale 1:10000



Figure 15




Investigation 7 map of archaeological features

Scale 1:500



Figure 16






Investigation 7 detail of Structure 12

Scale 1:100



Figure 17


7027 southeast facing section




7033 southeast facing section




7028 southeast facing section



7034 southeast facing section




7030 southeast facing section




7035 southeast facing section



7031 southeast facing section



7036 southeast facing section



7032 southeast facing section



7025 7095 southeast facing section



alignment. Upon excavation, the pits were found to vary in depth from 0.13 to 0.71m, and in diameter from 0.41m to 1.23m. During excavation, no finds were recovered; the majority of pits were found have one or two backfills, whilst three distinct fills were identified within two of the features. None of the pits contained post-pipes or exhibited evidence that they had originally held timber uprights.

A further nine pits were mapped in Trench 7 to either side of the pit alignment, of which four were excavated. None of these features appear to have been directly associated with Structure 12. To the east F7093, a large but shallow pit was identified, measuring 0.44m deep and 1.11m in diameter. Another large feature, possibly a pit, appeared to extend beyond the eastern edge of the area (F7087), but was not excavated.

Further to the north, four smaller pits (F7086, F7085, F7079 and F7069) appear to follow a NE-SW alignment, and may represent another linear structure. The excavation of F7079 revealed a small, steep sided V-shaped pit, 0.61m deep and 0.47m diameter, which contained a sequence of four back-fills (C7080-C7083).

4.5.3 Assessment

The significance of discoveries made during Investigation 7 rest predominantly on the pit alignment. Pit alignments are known to have been constructed from the Neolithic to the Roman period; features of a similar nature have been identified to the west in more recent watching briefs, and dated to the Iron Age (Investigation 15).

The failure of the magnetometer survey to identify the pit alignment is noteworthy, but not surprising given the often sterile and compacted backfills of these features.

4.6 INVESTIGATION 8 (TRENCH 6)

During the summer of 1997, the watching brief continued in an area to the west of Investigation 7, again on the southern side of the quarry (Quarry Phase 2a). The area was situated to the south of Trench 5 (Investigation 4), adjacent to the junction formed by the B6267 Nosterfield road and the quarry haul road which leads to the processing site. The watching brief covered an area measuring 28m north-south and 24m east-west (Figure 19).

4.6.1 Fieldwork Procedure


The methodology employed during Investigation 7 was continued during Investigation 8.

4.6.2 Fieldwork Results

No archaeological features were identified during this investigation.

4.7 INVESTIGATION 9 (INTERVENTION 1 AND 2)

Between July 1998 and May 1999, FAS undertook a watching brief in an area lying to the west of Investigation 4 to 7 (Quarry Phase 1b)(Figure 20). This work was carried out in two phases: Intervention 1 saw the



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.


Field Archaeology Specialists Ltd University of York Kings Meadow, Licence No. AL100026780

Location of Investigation 8

Scale 1:10000



Figure 19



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.

Field Archaeology Specialists Ltd University of York Kings Meadow, Lincoln, Lincolnshire LN4 100002780

Location of Investigation 9

Scale 1:10000



Figure 20

monitoring of topsoil stripping, and the mapping, excavation and recording of any archaeological features; Intervention 2 consisted of fieldwalking of the area, in response to the recovery of finds from the surface of the subsoil during earlier work.

The area of investigation covered 5.8 hectares, located on generally level ground between the 41 to 43m contours. The land rises gently to the south, while to the northwest, the ground slopes upwards more steeply. Areas to the north and east of the area were observed to be significantly wetter than those to the south and east. For this investigation, the area was divided into two parcels. Intervention 1 (West) was situated within the right angled bend of Flask Lane, covering an area of 162m by 182m (3.0 hectares), while Intervention 1 (East) occupied a larger, rectangular area, measuring 380m north-south and 145m east-west (5.2 hectares) (Figure 21). The watching brief covered two seasons work on land that was both arable and pasture. Work on Intervention 1 (East) began in July 1998 and continued intermittently until September; Intervention 1 (West) adjacent to Flask Lane was undertaken in April and May 1999.

4.7.1 Fieldwork Procedure

Intervention 1 (E)


Topsoil was removed under archaeological supervision using a tracked mechanical excavator fitted with a broad toothless ditching bucket. Beneath the topsoil the clean subsoil surface consisted of glacial sands and gravels. Feature visibility was generally good against the sands and gravels but at the extreme northern end of the site, where the ground was wet, areas of peat and marl were exposed (in area of approximately 2152m²), and in this inaccessible area the watching brief could only be maintained at a safe working distance.

Once an area had been topsoil stripped all anomalies were flagged and the machinery kept off the area. Larger or more extensive areas of interest were also de-limited by flags. Continuous monitoring of the weathered surface under different conditions revealed further anomalies which were also investigated.

All the anomalies were investigated in the first instance by hand cleaning and were then tested by excavation. A few anomalies disappeared after cleaning and were abandoned (identified as areas of remnant topsoil). During excavation others proved to be of geological origin and were also abandoned, but the remainder were mapped, excavated and recorded according to standard FAS field operating procedures. Feature numbers began at F1 and Contexts at C1000. All features were half-sectioned, although a few which produced finds were then completely excavated. Hachure plans were drawn either when the features were half-sectioned or once excavation was complete. The site grid was provided by the quarry based upon Ordnance Survey values. It was extended across the site as and when required to map any features and contexts.

Intervention 1 (W)

Intervention 1 (W) bordered Flask Lane to the west and north, and the earlier watching brief area to the east. Land to the south was used for arable farming. The topographic situation of the site appears to influence the distribution of the archaeological remains. Activity was mapped on the higher ground which extended to the back of the site, towards Flask Lane. The eastern side commanded views over Intervention 1 (E), and the peaty, wet areas further to the north and east. In the southeast corner a large drain, visible on the OS maps of the area, marked approximately the break in slope onto lower wetter areas. Other land drains were later mapped but not



excavated.

The recording system continued the indices that had been created in 1998, with Features starting at F103 and Contexts at C1121. In 1999, the recording followed the same general procedures to those laid down in 1998, with the exception that all anomalies were initially only allocated a context number until they had been tested by excavation. A few deposits contained calcined bone and where these were encountered the fill was recovered in full and processed off-site. Once topsoil had been removed, and in addition to the routine monitoring of the weathering subsoil surface, fieldwalking was conducted (Intervention 2). The purpose of the fieldwalking was to investigate the possibility of finds surviving virtually at subsoil level in pockets of remnant topsoil.

4.7.2 Fieldwork Results

INTERVENTION 1(E)

In the eastern parcel of land, the watching brief identified an initial 78 features (Appendix A), of which 6 were tested and abandoned when found not to be archaeological (F65, F76, F68, F70-2), 19 represented modern land drains, and 2 were animal burrows. Sixty-seven features were mapped, and comprised a series of pits (more than 0.10m deep), scoops (less than 0.10m deep), sinkholes and ditches, distributed in two broad groups, one at the northern end of the site overlooking the wetter area, and a second further south on the level ground.

Pits and scoops

Pits represent the most common feature identified during the watching brief, and 31 were identified within Intervention 1(E). A further 14 more shallow features were identified as scoops. Although no obvious clustering is evident, and no structures have been identified, these features are more densely distributed within an area of roughly 100m² towards the centre of the area, and a small cluster of four features can be identified at the southern end of the site. A smaller number of isolated features were identified in the area occupied by modern drains to the north; these were more scarce, however, and of these, 3 (F44, F45, F46) were found to be sink holes.

The pits and scoops were found to vary considerably in shape and size (Figures 22 and 23). The majority were oval or sub-circular in plan, measuring between 0.25 and 1.00m in average diameter, with an exceptionally large feature measuring 2.25m x 1.50m (F6). These features measured up to 0.55m in depth, and the majority were found to contain only one fill.

Five pits were sub-rectangular (F1, F4, F14, F23, F39), and upon excavation were found to be shallow, up to 0.20m deep, and varied in length from 1.00 to 3.00m long. Pits classified as irregular did not fit into any of the above categories, and measured between 0.32 to 1.85m in length and up to 0.85m wide.


During the watching brief, areas of burrowing were identified by loose and collapsing backfill; however, where disturbance was less severe it was often difficult to establish whether the feature was man-made. Three features, originally described as pits or scoops were later re-classified as animal burrows upon further investigation (F15, F16, F34).

+

8300/535

+

8310/535



F40



F38




F37

+

8300/530


+

8310/530



F39





Investigation 9 (Intervention 1) F37, F38, F39 and F40 sections

Scale 1:20

Figure 23

Only two pits, F75 and F77, contained more than a single fill. Most of the fills varied from fairly coarse, sterile sand or silt to brown or dark grey loams and contained sparse to abundant quantities of stone (usually gravel to pebble sized). Charcoal occurred in just over half of the pit and scoop fills. The quantity reported varied from occasional, rare flecks to abundant amounts (F4, F12, F22, F23, F26, F38, F40).

F77 contained a residue from burning. The upper fill C1082 was characterised by a dark brown silty sand mixed with, unusually, patches of pale white sand. It overlay a silty sand C1083, red in colour possibly as a result of being scorched; other components included some charcoal and fragments of burnt stone. The lower fill, C1084, was a brown silty deposit with ash and abundant charcoal. The pit was irregular in shape and after excavation it appeared to consist of three small sub-circular hollows (Figure 24). It was not dissimilar in character to another small, irregular pit F19 (small hollows which abutted each other). It produced a few flecks of charcoal and a small quantity of heat-affected earth (C1021). F10 was an oval scoop, 1.00m long and 0.70m wide but only 0.06m deep. The fill, C1011, contained rare charcoal flecks and had apparently been scorched, suggesting it may be the remains of a hearth or firing from a higher level.

An oval pit, F69, was identified on the shoulder of F44 (sink hole), although no relationship was established between the features. F69 was unusually deep (1.10m). Excavation produced only a deposit of peat and occasional stones, which suggested it belonged to the cluster of sink holes that occur in the locality. The base of the pit was just below the perched water table. At the northern end of the site were two shallow scoops (F47, F48). Both these features contained a shallow, peaty fill and were similar to other nearby features identified as sink holes (F44 to F46, possibly F69).


Some of the pits may have resulted from trees or bushes being grubbed-up. F74 was a large sub-circular pit, 3.60m in diameter and 0.18m deep, which produced root scars on the base of the cut. Pit F32 was found to be a modern animal burial.

Linear features

A series of land drains represented the most modern features identified. These were concentrated on the northern edge of the site, and generally followed a NE-SW alignment (F50, F52, F56, F58, F59, F60), with examples also running NW-SE (F49, F51, F53, F57, F61, F62, F69). F53 and F55 ran roughly north-south between F51 and F57, also joined by F54.


Further south, a series of conjoining ditches (F27, F28, F29, F30, F35) appeared to delimit and then lead into an area of peat (C1030), which represents a geological sink hole.

Other than the land drains, linear features consisted of one ditch and a short curvilinear feature. Feature 8 was identified as a curvilinear gully, running east-west for approximately 6m, and bearing an uncertain relationship with pit (F9), which lies centrally over it. Flint finds from F9 may suggest a prehistoric date. A second ditch was identified further to the southeast, running roughly NW-SE for a distance of c.45m (F31). A fragment of modern pottery, and a considerable amount of root disturbance, led to interpretation of this feature as a hedgeline.



Investigation 9 (Intervention 1) F77 post-excavation plan

Scale 1:20



Investigation 9 (Intervention 1) F77 section

Scale 1:20

Figure 24

Sink Holes

Four sink holes were identified to the north of the site (F44, F45, F46, C1030), created by the collapse of sub-surface strata beneath ground level. These features were mapped and subject to intensive investigations for the recovery of palaeoenvironmental material. A further three features were subsequently identified which may belong to the same group of features (F47, F48, F69). Such features are specific to geological conditions, and have been noted at several locations within the surrounding landscape, occurring as small hollows, from *c.* 2.0m in diameter, or much larger depressions; most notably at Snape Mires, to the north of Nosterfield, where an area of 6km² is believed to have collapsed during the late glacial period (FAS 2005).

These sink holes occur primarily in two locations in this investigation area, with a single example (C1030) located in the central part of the intervention, and the remainder clustered at the northern end of the site (F44 to 46, with F47 to 49). This latter group is considered to be the result of collapsing subterranean strata.


C1030 was the upper fill of a sink hole, situated at 39.46m AOD, whose extent was only mapped at the subsoil horizon. None of the buried deposits were excavated but the strata sequence was investigated using an auger array laid across both axes of the in-fill. The sink hole was situated in a larger hollow and measured 9.22m long and 6.23m wide; its upper fill (C1030) consisted of a reddish brown desiccated peat, 0.17m thick. A total of 13 augers were drilled through the surface, revealing a sequence of peat and marl sediments to a depth of approximately 1.0m. A sequence of deposits was recorded (C1030 to C1036), but further investigation was abandoned because sediment could not be recovered in the waterlogged conditions. The area around the sink hole had been the focus of relatively recent drainage activity. A series of land drains were dug in a rectangular fashion around the south side of the sink hole, presumably to improve drainage in a locally wet area. It is likely that the drains (F27 to F30, F35) carried the water off on the eastern (lower) side, although the drains were not mapped far beyond the edge of the hollow. A small segment of F28 was excavated, where it measured 0.30m wide and 0.25m deep and had a distinct square profile containing pebbles and cobbles.

A second set of sink holes were investigated to the north on the edge of the peaty area. When these features were identified, the project environmental consultant (Dr Stephen Carter) was invited to site in order to consider a revised strategy for their investigation. It was decided that the features should be excavated to the fullest possible depth with due regard to health and safety, and where possible, column samples should be taken to the base of the holes in order to assess their potential.

Initially the sink holes were excavated at least to the depth of the water table. F44 and F45 were half sectioned and F46, the largest hole, was quadrantised and opposite quadrants removed to study the profile. These features were all sub-circular, measuring between 3.09m (F44), 2.25m (F45) and 4.70m (F46) in diameter (Figure 25). All three shafts reached a similar level, 36.53m AOD, 36.99m AOD and 36.92m AOD respectively. F44 and F45 contained a sequence of deposits comprising loams over layers of peat (Figure 26), which extended beyond the water table. F46 was similar, but lacked the waterlain sediments that were evident in the other two features.



F44, F45 and F46 had funnel-shaped profiles characterised by broad sub-circular tops and narrower, near-vertical shafts, probably circular in plan. The upper levels had acquired accumulations of soil, blown or ploughed into the features; these deposits may have accumulated more rapidly after the area was drained and once the land was used for arable farming on a regular basis. C1060, a deposit of stonier in-fill in F46, may have

8375/785




8375/775

8395/785



8395/775





Investigation 9 (Intervention 1) F44, F45 and F46 sections

Scale 1:50

Figure 26

been used deliberately to level up the hollows, but at some stage in the 19th century or early 20th century, a land drain was cut across F46 to a depth which suggests that the surface of the holes had stabilised.

Monolith tins were used to recover samples from the upper fills of all sink holes. At this depth, a mechanical excavator was used to reduce the level of the surrounding ground surface (box section). Hand-excavation continued for a short while but was halted rapidly by the poor working conditions. Below the water table, the gravel sides also became too unstable and flowing water caused regular collapses of the feature edge and even the box sides. Excavation ended at this point, but sampling continued using a Russian auger, producing a continuous column for each of the three pits.

The samples taken from these features were the subject of analysis at Stirling University (Appendix E: Part 2); Long and Tipping suggested initially that the shafts were man-made, presumably for the collection of water, although subsequent analysis has confirmed that these features would have formed naturally, through the collapse of underlying sediments (Appendix E: Part 3). F46 differed from F44 and F45 in that it showed no evidence for having contained standing water. Analysis of the sediment stratigraphy of these shafts led to recommendations that a programme of pollen analysis and radiocarbon dating be carried out, in order to ascertain environmental change in the vicinity.

A sample from F45 was therefore subject to further analysis (Appendix E: Part 4), which revealed evidence for the vegetational history of the area from the Late Neolithic to the late Iron Age. Nine phases of activity were defined, which included five phases of woodland recession. The earliest of these was dated to the Late Neolithic, and the latest to the Iron Age. The final stage of woodland decline is considered to have been climatically instigated, while the earlier four phases are considered to have been due to human clearance and removal of the woodland.

Analysis and radiocarbon dating was also carried out in order to investigate the interface between peat and marl sediments (Find 14) in an area immediately adjacent to the sinkholes F44, 45 and 46. The radiocarbon dating at the base of the peat demonstrated that it was forming from the early Holocene (8705-8440 cal BC: Beta-143458; Appendix E: Part 3), suggesting that the marl-forming lake had been terrestrialised by this time.

More recently, Dr Stephen Carter (Headland Archaeology) has reassessed the value of these cores with regard to palaeoenvironmental data (FAS 2005). These features were caused by the dissolution of underlying gypsum strata by groundwater, and subsequent surface subsidence, forming depressions or hollows that can act as sediment traps. However, he noted that the accumulation of material can occur in a number of ways, including intermittent, rapid collapse or blocking of the main pipe, or through more gradual infilling. Following this, secondary collapses can disturb deposits further; to assume that sediments will always represent the gradual and continuous accumulation of material is to oversimplify the complex ways that such features can be formed. For the subsidence hollows at Nosterfield, Carter suggests that the radiocarbon dates for core F45 reveal three discrete phases of rapid sediment accumulation, rather than continuous deposition, providing 'snap shots' of local vegetation on each occasion. Between 2.8 and 4.1m, no pollen survives in sediments dating to the early Holocene. From 1.4 to 0.4m, pollen is dominated by woodland taxa, dating to 4000 uncal BP, and from 0.4m upwards, is considered to have been laid down around 2300 uncal BP. This may suggest that the significance of the pollen analysis from these cores requires reconsideration.

INTERVENTION 1(W)

Features identified during the second part of this watching brief included a range similar to that reported for Intervention 1(E) (see Figure 21) (Appendix A). A total of 54 features were identified, 42 of which were pits, 4 were scoops and 8 were land drains (two were selected for excavation). In addition, a series of 33 anomalies were mapped and defined as spreads, although no feature numbers were allocated to such ephemeral remains. Unlike the 1998 season, these spreads were mapped and are included in the final horizon map of Intervention 1(W).

Spreads

The 33 anomalies identified as spreads were generally interpreted as thin patches of existing topsoil in hollows, or stone holes left over after topsoil stripping. The largest spread mapped covered an area of 10.0m x 6.0m (C1138), but varied in size from 0.38m to 5.60m in diameter. Occasionally, the spread disappeared when it was cleaned for further investigation (e.g. C1191).


Two short, linear spreads (C1190 and C1211) were identified as the remains of wheel ruts created by the dumper trucks running in poor conditions during the topsoil stripping. The fill of 7 spreads contained charcoal (C1167, C1169, C1174, C1175, C1190, C1213, C1215) and a few produced finds. C1201 produced a fragment of field drain (discarded), C1131 animal bone, C1148, C1173 and C1214 produced pottery and flint; all might indicate that these were very truncated features. A broken jet button was recovered from C1167.

Pits and scoops


Forty-two pits were excavated, although 7 were subsequently identified as sink holes (F103 to F106, F109, F122 and F162), and a further 4 features were classed as scoops (F125, F130, F135, F143). All of the pits were distributed to the north and west of a series of land drains which cut across the southeast corner of the intervention. There was no obvious concentration, although one group appeared to cluster along the edge of the higher ground. A second group can be identified in the northwest corner of the intervention, in association with a number of spreads (Figure 27).

The pits and scoops were found to vary in shape from circular to oval features, although the largest scoop (F135) was sub-rectangular in plan. Pits reached 1.64m (F152) in diameter and 3.0m in length (F129), and scoop F135 measured 2.0m across; the majority, however, measured less than 1.0m across. A single, much larger feature was identified in the northwestern corner of the site, identified as a large pit measuring 4.40m x 3.12m in plan (F151). The pits varied in depth from 0.12 (F116) to 0.57m (F161), although F148 was exceptionally deep, 0.80m and only 0.90m in diameter (Figure 28).


The majority of the pits contained single fills, although an upper and lower fill were excavated in F115, F123 and F148 (see Figure 28). Pit fills were often described as a dark brown sandy silt or a dark grey brown loam, over 60% of which produced charcoal, and occasionally material which appears to have been burnt. Quantities of charcoal varied, and while many backfills produced only rare flecks, a few examples produced more abundant amounts (F123 C1161, F140 C1196, F147 C1199). Occasionally concentrations of silt were noted in the section (for example, F117 C1142 and F131 C1163) which appeared to form horizontal bands and which may be interpreted as the remains of a turf line.




F115 southwest facing section




F131 southwest facing section




F142 profile




F116 southeast facing section




F136 northeast facing section




F143 southeast facing section




F117 northwest facing section




F139 southwest facing section




F154 northeast facing section




F118 northwest facing section




F140 northeast facing section



F154 northeast facing section



F141 east facing section



Sink Holes

Seven sink holes were identified during the watching brief, all of which were clustered at the eastern edge of Intervention 1(W), forming the western edge of a wider distribution which also included F44, F45, and F46. Generally circular or oval in plan, these features varied in size from 0.60 (F106) to 2.50m (F105) in diameter. The largest sink hole F122 was 2.74 x 1.40m.

The depth of the features varied from 0.20m (F122) to 2.42m (F109), although a measure of the deeper holes was only achieved by augering from the excavated level. The excavation of both F103 and F109 was abandoned on contact with a perched water table at a depth of 0.90m and 1.30m respectively. The shallower sink holes contained peat, or the remains of desiccated peat, although F106 was in-filled with a loam which produced flecks of marl. The deeper holes contained peat at the lowest level excavated but were sealed by layers of topsoil (for example, F103, F104, F109). The profile of these deeper holes was straight-sided, rather than the funnel-shape which was characteristic of the sink holes investigated in 1998. Column samples from the excavated upper levels of F103 and F109 were recovered but were later discarded, as they were not sufficiently complete for analysis. The upper level of F109, C1129 produced the only find, a flint flake.

F162, located in the northwest corner of Intervention 1(W), was an exceptionally large feature, 10.0m in diameter. It did not contain any peat and appears to be the remains of a shallow, partially collapsed sink hole. The in-fill, C1171, produced two pieces of flint. Beneath this deposit were bands of sterile subsoil (C1219 and C1220), removed to confirm contact with the natural subsoil.

Land drains and linear features

In the southeastern corner of Intervention 1(W), a large drain enclosed the corner of the area, running for approximately 90m SW-NE before turning at a right angle, and running NW-SE for 40m beyond the area of investigation. During the watching brief, a further eight features were also identified as land drains (F110-2, F119, F121, F163-5), which appeared to drain off the slope into the larger ditch, or onto lower ground to the north.

Four land drains fed water into the ditch (F110, F111, F112 and F121). A small segment of F110 was excavated, and was found to comprise a narrow gully, 0.48m wide and 0.21m deep, containing no pipework. To the north of the main ditch F119/F165, F163 and F164 drained water directly off site into the former lake margins. The butt-end of F119 was excavated, which revealed a narrow gully 0.50m wide and 0.10m deep.

Finds

Few features yielded remains indicative of function, although twenty-four pits and scoops produced prehistoric finds. The investigation produced a small amount of lithic material, mostly flint but included a few flakes of chert and one stone axe, in a broken condition. Both waste products, utilised and worked tools were present in the assemblage, and included scrapers, a bifacially worked leaf-shaped arrowhead, and a borer or piercing tool. A small handaxe produced from a greenish-grey volcanic tuff was recovered from F141 (C1193), but in a broken



Plate 4 Prehistoric hand axe

condition (Plate 4). It would appear to come from axe factories in the northwest (Cumbria), North Wales or Scotland. The axe was split along its length into four pieces of equal thickness, and it is possible that it was fractured deliberately. An end piece is missing, but the possible presence of soot residues on the surface of the axe suggest it may have been heated, perhaps to encourage failure.

Generally, the number of flint artefacts recovered was relatively low, although F118 (C1143) and F142 (C1195) produced twelve and twenty pieces respectively. The greater part of the prehistoric ceramic assemblage was Grooved Ware (possibly Woodlands style or a local variant), but possible earlier Grimston Ware was also recovered and a small amount of Peterborough Ware was also present (Appendix D: Part 1 and 2). Some of the sherds were undiagnostic or too fragmentary to identify but belong to the tradition of Neolithic or late Neolithic ceramics. One sherd of Beaker pottery from F6 (C1007) is chronologically later than the predominantly late Neolithic assemblage (Appendix D: Part 2).

F130 has been interpreted as the remains of a hearth, and although its backfill (C1162) contained few charcoal flecks, there was a reddening of the subsoil around the excavated feature which suggested that the ground had been fired. Its backfill also incorporated fragments of cracked stones, probably broken by fire. Other features produced cracked stones (pebbles, cobbles and sandstone fragments) apparently broken by heat (e.g. F115, F116, F123, F131, F133, F140, F144, F147, 157), and a burnt flake also occurred in F4. In at least one instance, the stones were accompanied by fragments of calcined bone (F116). A limited number of features produced burnt bone (F116, F125, F134, F142), which upon analysis proved to be non-human.


More modern activity appears to be represented by pits F137 and F151. F137 represented an old fence post; it produced a piece of wood (L0.24 x W0.14 x B0.06m) and a few fragments of modern tile. F151 produced fragments of modern brick and animal bone, and is probably the remains of a disturbed animal burial. Notably, these occur at the western limits of the area.

INTERVENTION 2 - SURFACE COLLECTION

Fieldwalking covered the entire area of Intervention 1(W) and was carried out in response to the discovery of a scatter of finds which were noted on the subsoil surface after topsoil stripping. Fifty-nine find spots (Find nos 1-59) were mapped (Figure 29), distributed over the western side of the intervention. One cluster of find spots occurred around two pits F115 and F116, and another near spread C1148 and pit F123 to the north. In the southwest corner of the intervention, a spread of material was collected in an area devoid of any archaeological features.

Lithics accounted for 51 find spots and were single finds apart from Find nos 23 and 43, where two pieces were picked up. The remaining 8 find spots produced 42 sherds and fragments of prehistoric pottery, the largest collection being Find no 37, being 17 sherds. The pottery assemblage contained little diagnostic material, apart from Find no 54, a sherd of Peterborough Ware (close to C1214). The remaining material appears to belong to the Neolithic period following the tradition of Grimston Ware and Grooved Ware recovered in larger quantities from the excavation.

The lithic assemblage contained a similar range of material to the excavation (Plates 5 and 6). It included a core



Investigation 9 (Intervention 2) find spots

Scale 1:2000



Figure 29

(Find no 22) and a projectile point produced on a thick flake (Find no16), perhaps a crude leaf arrowhead. Scrapers were the most common tool type and it included three small thumbnail scrapers (Find nos 15, 19 and 55), a class of tool that appeared in the early Bronze Age and is a common feature of Beaker associated assemblages.

The discovery of material on the subsoil surface suggests that finds were present at the lowest levels of the ploughsoil, at the interface with the subsoil. At these levels, it appears that even fragile material such as the pottery survives at least initial disturbance by the plough, although it is unlikely to survive at higher levels in the soil profile. The cluster of finds around F115 and F116 demonstrates that ploughing is responsible for a significant amount of damage to the features, and furthermore, suggests that an unknown number of features have been lost in the southwest corner of the area.

4.7.3 Assessment

Intervention 1 revealed a scatter of truncated pits and scoops around the margins of a former lake, which by the Neolithic had silted up. The features provide evidence for Neolithic occupation on the site in the vicinity of a series of natural sink holes, which may have contained standing water or localised patches of marshy ground. In this respect, it is perhaps surprising that at least some of the sink-holes, apparently open in the Neolithic and Bronze Age, did not produce any finds contemporary with the occupation, but it may suggest that activity was of a relatively low intensity. Although occasionally grouped together in small clusters, the pits and scoops do not form any coherent pattern.

Activity may have reached a peak during the late Neolithic, but the presence of possibly earlier pottery and a chronologically later Beaker type assemblage (pottery and thumbnail scrapers) suggests that activity continued over a longer period. The area appears to have been abandoned at some time in the early Bronze Age, perhaps reflecting a change in use or a shift in the focus of activity. This can be correlated with the environmental evidence for periods of clearance, which began in the late Neolithic, but which appears to have been of low intensity, and was succeeded by a period of woodland restoration. During the Bronze Age, clearance appears to have occurred in more significant levels, though again this was only temporary.

For the post-medieval and modern periods, the results of the watching brief demonstrate the response to the natural topography and geology of the area: where sink holes reveal areas of the landscape likely to be wetter, field drains appear to have been used in order to drain and use the land to its maximum potential. To the south of Intervention 1(E), the earlier Ordnance Survey maps suggest that the sink hole (C1030) was situated at the end of a smaller field, and the long sinuous ditch, F31, is probably all that remains of that field boundary.



Plate 5 Flint cores



Plate 6 Flint projectiles

4.8 INVESTIGATION 10 (INTERVENTION 3)

A walkover and contour survey was carried out in the northwest corner of the Flasks in advance of further watching brief work (Quarry Phase 2b, 5a). The area of investigation lies to the north of Intervention 1(W) (Investigation 9), beyond the northern arm of Flask Lane (Figure 30). The field surrounded the western side of the quarry processing plant and at the time of survey was under pasture (late summer 1999). There was no indication that the ground had been ploughed in the recent past.

4.8.1 Fieldwork procedure

The purpose of the walkover survey was to identify and describe any upstanding earthworks or features of potential archaeological interest, and a contour survey was undertaken to map such features.

4.8.2 Fieldwork results

The surface of the field contained a number of hollows (possibly sink holes) and earthwork features. A drop in ground level along the eastern edge of the field appeared to mark the former edge of the in-filled lake, or a broad channel into the lake.

Nine medium to large sub-circular hollows were defined and mapped. The smaller hollows all clustered along the edge of the terrace, which runs NW-SE and represents the boundary of the former lake. A larger, though somewhat poorly defined, hollow in the southwest corner was situated 50m back from the terrace near the former gated entrance to the field. Another depression in the opposite northeast corner, 18m in diameter, appeared to be the remains of a small pond (later identified as another sink hole and some 5m in depth, sampled by Durham University in summer 2003, called Shake-Hole 1: Investigation 18). The remainder of the hollows are also likely to represent sink holes (Figure 31).


The terrace was found to run along the eastern side of the field between the 40 and 41m contour. At the north end it was crossed by a low bank (NE-SW) running toward a manhole cover situated in the southwest corner. Where it had been exposed by burrows, the soil from the lower levels appeared to consist of a desiccated peat. On the higher ground, the topsoil was shallower (0.30m) and appeared to overlie the gravel subsoil.

4.8.3 Assessment

This fieldwork identified a number of features within the area, identifying potential candidates for investigation during a subsequent phase of watching brief in the same area (Investigation 11).

4.9 INVESTIGATION 11 (INTERVENTION 4)

Investigation 11 (Intervention 4) was carried out on the same area of land, although a new fence had reduced the area by cutting off the northeastern corner (Quarry Phase 2b, 5a)(Figure 32). Intervention 4 therefore covered a triangular area in the northwest corner of the Flasks, to the west of the quarry processing site and north of the previous watching brief (Investigation 9). The area covered approximately 1.20 hectares, with



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.

Field Archaeology Specialists Ltd. University of York. Kings Meadow, York. Licence No. AL100026780

Location of Investigation 10

Scale 1:10000



Figure 30




Investigation 10 contour survey at 0.20m intervals

Scale 1:1250



Figure 31



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.

Field Archaeology Specialists Ltd. University of York. Kings Norton. Licence No. AL100026780

Location of Investigation 11

Scale 1:10000



Figure 32

maximum dimensions 120m north-south and 158m east-west. The watching brief was carried out in September 1999.

The ground cover consisted of rough pasture used for grazing sheep, and had not been recently ploughed. Ground level was relatively uneven, although the ground rose from the east side, on the 39m contour, to the west where it reached the 42m contour.

4.9.1 Fieldwork Procedure

The same fieldwork procedure employed for Intervention 1(W) was also used for this investigation, although the presence soft ground and water-logging restricted access in the eastern margins of the area during the watching brief. Features were recorded from F1 and contexts from C1000.

4.9.2 Fieldwork Results

During the watching brief, a total of 15 features were identified and investigated, and 2 land drains were mapped but not excavated (Appendix A). The 15 features were all initially identified as pits; subsequently, 3 were found to represent sink holes (Figure 33).

One group of 9 pits (Structure 11) formed a length of a pit alignment. The remaining pits were identified as sink holes, although one (F6) contained only modern farm debris and no peat. A very small finds assemblage was recovered consisting of pottery, tile, animal bone and one piece of chert.

Pit alignment


Structure 11 crossed the southeast corner of the area and was orientated NE-SW from the edge of Flask Lane towards the terrace of the former lake. The pit alignment stopped approximately 4m in front of the terrace edge. Within the pit alignment, the oval or sub-rectangular pits were generally situated between 0.71m and 0.94m apart, although the distance between F3 and F4 was only 0.51m, while between F5 and F11 the distance was 1.20m (Figure 34). Most of the pits were sub-rectangular, although others were oval or circular. The largest pit (F4) was 2.76m in length but only 0.26m deep, while the deepest pit, F7, reached 0.52m in depth (Figure 35). The backfill of the pits were either brown clayey silts or sandy clays, which occasionally became stonier at lower levels (e.g. F2, F11, F14 and F15). In general, the pit bases were flat or gently sloping, although in F2 a shallow hollow was defined in the centre of the pit. The only finds from Structure 11 were an undiagnostic fragment of Roman brick from F2 (C1002), and a rim sherd of Torksey or Torksey-type Ware from F8 (C1011) dated to the 10th to 11th century AD (Appendix D: Part 4).

Isolated pits

Two other pits (F6 and F12) were not part of the pit alignment. F6 was a modern pit filled with lengths of barbed-wire and other farming debris. F12 was an isolated feature and contained a brown sandy silt (C1018), interpreted as a possible shallow sink hole.

Sink Holes

Four sink holes (F1, F9, F10 and F13) were situated on the edge of the gravel terrace, with a further possible




Investigation 11 (Intervention 4) map of archaeological features

Scale 1:1000



Figure 33












Investigation 11 (Intervention 4) Structure 11

Scale 1:200



Figure 34



Investigation 11 (Intervention 4) Structure 11 sections

Scale 1:50

Figure 35

example, F12, set further back from the terrace (see Figure 33). Only F13 was fully excavated, the others being abandoned at different depths for health and safety reasons. F9, situated in soft marl, was too dangerous to excavate and was sectioned by machine and recorded remotely. Below the surface the sink holes became wetter and contained a series of layers and occasionally peaty deposits.

The upper fill of each sink hole was characterised by a well-structured soil, representing a deposit of topsoil which had accumulated in the tops of the features possibly as the underlying deposits shrank (Figure 36). F1 (C1000) produced a number of cartridge cases from shot guns (not retained), and a piece of chert from F13 with a single flake bed, possibly a core fragment, was the only lithic find from the investigation.

The lower fill of F1 and F13 contained peat. In F1 (C1007) the peat produced fragments of root and plant remains and was sealed by another peaty deposit (C1001) with lenses of marl. In F13, the peat (C1020) sealed two grey silty clay layers (C1021 and C1022). C1020 produced a fragment of tibia from a large mammal and C1022 a mandible, in a slightly fossilised condition, from a very large deer (elk or megaloceros)(Plate 7).



Plate 7 Elk mandible



4.9.3 Assessment

Not all of the hollows mapped in Intervention 3 were identified as features in the excavation, while the watching brief also produced one sink hole (F13) which had not been mapped as a surface feature.

The fragment of mandible from F13, from an animal extinct since the Pleistocene, suggests the possibility of a greater time depth for the formation of the deposits identified within the sink holes.

The absence of pits and any material from occupation suggests that late Neolithic activity did not extend across Flask Lane into Intervention 3. It is possible that a west/eastward extension of the pit alignment (Structure 11) could have formed the boundary to the site, although the absence of any finds of prehistoric date may suggest it could be later in the sequence.

Since Structure 11 had not appeared on the other side of the lane in Intervention 1, it is possible that it turned beneath Flask Lane and continued to the east or west. The pit alignment respects the edge of the terrace and may have terminated here on the edge of a wet peaty area. The finds from the pit alignment are difficult to interpret in terms of date. The lack of any further evidence for early medieval features or finds in the area suggests that the fragment of Torksey Ware may have been intrusive; a number of similar features identified during later stages of the watching brief were found to contain Roman ceramic, suggesting a final disuse of these features at this time.



4.10 INVESTIGATION 12 (INTERVENTION 6)

Investigation 12 consisted of fieldwalking undertaken in the northern part of Nosterfield Quarry in advance of topsoiling (Quarry Phase 2b)(Figure 37). The area of topsoil, covering 10.88 hectares, was walked during December 1999, and a total of only 20 finds were recovered.

4.10.1 Fieldwork Procedure

Fieldwalking was undertaken in two areas of the investigation that had been planted with potatoes; the remainder of the area was under stubble at the time. Complete coverage of the available area was achieved. The finds were individually located using a total station theodolite, and their 3-D coordinates plotted.

4.10.2 Fieldwork Results

Only 20 finds were recovered during this work, consisting of pottery and lithic material (Appendix C: Part 3). Flint artefacts included a single blade, 10 flakes and 7 fragments of debitage, 2 fragments of which were found to have been burnt. A fragment of Roman pottery was also recovered. No notable clusters within the distribution were identified.

4.10.3 Assessment


The low number of finds recovered from this phase of work, and their generally undiagnostic nature, means that few conclusions can be drawn regarding their significance. Despite their limited value, these finds add to the general assemblage of prehistoric artefacts recovered during the subsequent watching brief in the same area (Investigation 13: Intervention 5NE). The low number of finds recovered from this phase of work can be compared with the results of Investigation 9 to the east (Intervention 2).

4.11 INVESTIGATION 13 (INTERVENTION 5NE)

A watching brief was undertaken over a large area to the west of the Nosterfield Quarry site, commencing in January 2000 (Quarry Phase 2b). This represented the first of four phases of Intervention 5, the largest area of investigation, covering a total of 37.8 hectares, and measuring 840m from east to west, and 765m north to south. The work was divided into four discrete phases of watching brief (Intervention 5NE, 5NW, 5SW, 5SE), and began with Intervention 5NE, which was undertaken between January and February 2000, and completed in May 2000 (Figure 38). The watching brief in this area revealed a series of linear features, including ditches and pit alignments, which dated from prehistory to the medieval period. A series of small pits was identified, and finds from the excavated features comprised largely prehistoric pottery and lithics.

4.11.1 Fieldwork Procedure

Initially, the topsoil from each area was stripped using a 360° tracked machine with a toothless ditching bucket; machinery was then prevented from running on the stripped areas until the archaeological features within each area had been fully investigated. The exposed subsoil was scanned for archaeological features, which were then



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.

Field Archaeology, Specialised Use University of York Kings Meadow, York, YO1 1PA. Licence No. AL100026780




Location of Investigation 12

Scale 1:10000



Figure 37



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.

Field Archaeology, Specialised Use University of York Kings Meadow, York, YO10 5DD



Location of Investigation 13

Scale 1:10000



Figure 38

mapped using a total station theodolite, half-sectioned and recorded. Context records began at C1000 and feature records from F1.

4.11.2 Fieldwork Results

A total of 27 features was identified during the watching brief, of which three have been classified as ditches, and the remainder as pits. Of these, eleven pits and one ditch formed part of a pit-ditch alignment across the southern part of the area, while the remainder were dispersed throughout the area, and showed little evidence for structure or organisation (Figure 39). Dating evidence was rare, but those finds that were produced indicate that activity in the area occurred between the Mesolithic and Bronze Age.

Ditch/pit alignment

Twelve pits were found to be aligned WSW-ESE, extending the line of a substantial ditch which ran for 115m across the southern part of Intervention 5NE (Structure 10)(Figure 40). The ditch was sampled in five sections, and was found to measure approximately 3.50m wide and up to 0.90m in depth, containing a series of fills (Figures 41 and 42). The pits were found to be sub-rectangular in shape, varying in length from 1.34m to 4.10m, and in width from 0.34m to 1.56m, reaching up to 0.72m in depth (Figure 43). Little dating material was recovered from these contexts; a Neolithic fabricator was recovered from the ditch backfill (F15). F16, a pit which shared an unclear relationship with one of the pits of the alignment (F7), or formed part of the structure, produced Grooved Ware of Neolithic date (Appendix D: Part 3).

Isolated pits

A number of small pits were identified within Intervention 5NE, in two main areas. To the north of Structure 10, five small pits were sampled (F1 to F5). Each was less than 1.0m in diameter, with a maximum depth of 0.36m. An undiagnostic fragment of flint was recovered from one feature (F2), and a single fragment of animal bone was recovered from F4 (Appendix G).


Further to the west, 7 further isolated pits were identified (F19, F20, F21, F24, F25, F26, F27), measuring on average 1.50m x 0.70m. Again, few finds were identified; fragments of flint were recovered from F26.

Linear features

Two linear features were mapped and sampled during the watching brief, both of which lay within the western part of Intervention 5NE. F23 was found to measure 0.50m in width and up to 0.25m deep, and was defined for a distance of 12.05m. F20 represented an irregular shaped feature, apparently cut by, or draining into, an adjacent hedgerow.

4.11.3 Assessment

The majority of the archaeological features within this area provided little or no dating evidence or indication of function, and as such are difficult to interpret. Scattered pits provide some indication of human activity, but cannot be interpreted as structural. The pit-ditch alignment can tentatively be assigned a prehistoric date, although such features are known to have been constructed over a long period.





Investigation 13 (Intervention 5) map of archaeological features

Scale 1:2000



Figure 39









Investigation 13 (Intervention 5) Structure 10 sections

Scale 1:50

Figure 41





Investigation 13 (Intervention 5) Structure 10 sections

Scale 1:50

Figure 43

4.12 INVESTIGATION 14 (INTERVENTION 5NW)

A watching brief was subsequently carried out in an area to the west of Intervention 5NE, between October and November 2001; this area was extended southwards slightly in advance of the construction of a new processing plant (Quarry Phase 3) (Figure 44). The archaeological remains identified included land drains, linear features, ditches and hedges. A small number of pits were identified within the area, and upon investigation a number of features were found to be natural, formed by vegetation.

4.12.1 Fieldwork Procedure

The fieldwork strategy adopted for Intervention 5NW had proved adequate for the sampling and recording of the sporadic features that were identified, and as such, the same procedure was adopted for Intervention 5NW.

4.12.2 Fieldwork Results

A total of 31 features were investigated within Intervention 5NW (Figure 45). Five features were found to be natural, caused by vegetation, while the remainder consisted of scattered pits and scoops, and a number of linear features which appear to represent medieval or post-medieval land divisions.

Natural features

Five features from Intervention 5NW were investigated and found to have been caused by natural factors, predominantly represented by tree boles (F50, F51, F53, F56, F57). These features formed a small cluster at the westernmost part of the Intervention, with an isolated example towards the centre.

Pits


The majority of the remaining features were either pits, of which 16 were identified, or scoops, which represented a further 5 features. These pits were scattered throughout the area of investigation and show no discernible pattern, although it has been noted that they occur on areas away from standing water, presumably on higher land. These features ranged in size from 1.7m by 1.04m to 0.60m by 0.50m, and reached up to 0.30m deep. Much more ephemeral were the 5 scoops, which measured between 0.02m and 0.08m deep, and had a maximum diameter of 0.90m. Generally, these features produced very few finds, and no securely dateable evidence was recovered (Figures 46 and 47).

Linear features

The area of investigation was dissected by a number of extensive linear features. Two ditches (F44 and F42/54), reaching lengths of 296m and 174m respectively, were found to run parallel to each other, approximately 60m apart, on a NNW-SSE alignment. Fragments of flint and medieval pottery were retrieved from the backfill of F44.

4.12.3 Assessment

The ditches identified within Intervention 5NW would seem to represent medieval land divisions. The results of investigations in this area produced similar results to those in Intervention 5NE; dispersed pits and scoops



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.

Field Archaeology Specialists Ltd University of York Kings Meadow York. Licence No FA100026780

Location of Investigation 14


Scale 1:10000



Figure 44

7500/1500

7900/1500



7500/1000

7500/1000




Investigation 14 (Intervention 5) map of archaeological features

Scale 1:2000




Figure 45



Investigation 14 (Intervention 5) F48 post-excavation plan

Scale 1:20




Investigation 14 (Intervention 5) F50 post-excavation plan

Scale 1:100



Figure 46



Investigation 14 (Intervention 5) F48 section

Scale 1:20



Investigation 14 (Intervention 5) F50 section

Scale 1:20

Figure 47

provide evidence for some degree of activity within this landscape, but little indication of function or date.

4.13 INVESTIGATION 15 (INTERVENTION 5SW)

An archaeological watching brief was undertaken to the south of Investigation 13 between April and June 2002, and was completed in September 2003 (Quarry Phase 3)(Figure 48). The stripping of topsoil in this area exposed a much denser distribution of features than in areas to the north, revealing funerary activity which has been dated to the Bronze Age, and at least four pit alignments which divide the landscape. Modern land divisions were also observed and recorded.

4.13.1 Fieldwork Procedure

Although the fieldwork strategy had proved sufficient for the recording and sampling of the dispersed features encountered following the stripping of Intervention 5NW and Intervention 5NE, the removal of topsoil in to the south of these areas, in Intervention 5SW, revealed a much denser distribution of archaeological features. The identification of 4 pit alignments, 3 ring ditches and a series of cremations prompted a revision of the working methodology. As a result, a change was implemented to the level of monitoring over the site, and the sampling strategies employed. Any finds observed on the surface during fieldwork were subsequently collected and located using a total station theodolite.

A review of the environmental sampling strategy was undertaken by Dr Stephen Carter, and the resulting recommendations adopted. Bulk samples were taken from all features: 10 litre samples from each context within ditches, 30 litres from ring-ditches and two pits from each alignment, 10 litres from isolated pits, and 30 litre samples from charcoal-rich fills within archaeological features.


4.13.2 Fieldwork Results

Within Intervention 5SW, a total of 217 features were identified and recorded, representing over three times the number of features identified in the more northerly areas. Of these, 14 proved to be of natural origin, either caused by vegetation or geological anomalies. 126 features were pits, which were found to form part of 4 pit alignments (Structures 1, 2, 4 and 5). Two *foci* of funerary activity were also identified, one focussed on a ring-ditch to the west of the area (Structure 3), and the other comprising a group of cremations and a possible associated ring-ditch to the northeast of the area (Structure 7). All burials were severely plough-damaged, with clear evidence for plough truncation and disturbance. Roman activity was represented by a corn-drying oven (Structure 6), while a timber- and stone-lined well identified in the eastern half of the area produced evidence for a modern date (F202). As with the areas further north, the landscape was found to have been divided by a series of hedgerows and ditches (Figure 49).

Ring-ditches and associated burials

Three ring ditches were identified during the watching brief, and although direct dating evidence was not retrieved, evidence from associated burials has suggested a date in the Bronze Age for their construction.

The largest of the ring-ditches, F264, is situated to the west of Intervention 5SW, measuring approximately 17m



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.


Field Archaeology Specialists Ltd University of York Kings Meadow, York YO1 1AA Licence No AL100026780

Location of Investigation 15

Scale 1:10000



Figure 48



Investigation 15 (Intervention 5) map of archaeological features

Scale 1:2000



Figure 49

in diameter, and is associated with at least two burials (Structure 3)(Figure 50). Within the central area delimited by the ring-ditch, a single cremation was excavated within a pit (F269), which has provided a radiocarbon date of 1605 ± 35 BC (SUERC-3786 GU-12287), placing it within the early to mid-Bronze Age (Figure 51: Appendix H: Part 1). A poorly preserved inhumation identified to the east of the ring-ditch (F267) provided a later date of 1240 ± 40 BC (SUERC-3779 GU-12283; Appendix H: Part 1).



Plate 8 F92 and F93, Intervention 5, double cremation burial

In the northern section of Intervention 5SW, a group of 10 pits and scoops containing cremated human remains were identified within an area of approximately 100m x 40m, which also contained a ring-ditch (Structure 7: F90, F91, F92, F93, F96, F98, F99, F100, F105, F106)(Figures 52 and 53; Plate 8). Four of these cremations (F92, F93, F96, F106) were submitted for radiocarbon dating, providing a close range of dates between 1050 ± 35 BC and 1135 ± 35 BC (Appendix H: Part 1). These dates corroborated the identification of the urns, found inverted within at least four of the burials, as mid-Bronze Age (Plates 9 and 10; Appendix D: Part 3). The burials generally clustered around a ring-ditch (F148), with outliers to the northwest (F90) and southeast (F106). The ring-ditch in this area measured just 7m in diameter, and while it showed no stratigraphic relationship with the human remains, may have formed an original focus for burial.



Plate 9 Cremation during excavation


A third, less complete ring-ditch was identified between the two areas of burial (F146)(Plate 11). The feature measured less than 5m in diameter, and upon excavation, the ditch was found to measure up to 0.15m in depth (Figure 54).

Pit alignments

Cutting the ring-ditch of Structure 3 was an alignment of pits, extending for 172m NW-SW. This pit alignment (Structure 1) comprised forty-three pits, evenly spaced, the majority of gaps measuring between 0.80m and 1.20m (Figure 55). Generally, these features were found to be sub-rectangular in shape, measuring between 1.76m and 5.10m in length, between 0.40m and 1.50m in width and varying in depth (Plate 12; Figure 56). A disarticulated human skeleton was recovered from the upper fills of one of the pits (Plate 13). Osteological analysis suggested that the body had been excarnated prior to deposition in an already silted pit. These remains produced a radiocarbon date of $AD40 \pm 35$ (Appendix H: Part 1; Appendix I: Part 1). The upper fills of a number of the pits produced pottery of Late Iron Age or Roman date, indicating that the feature may have been visible at this time (Appendix D: Part 5).



Plate 10 Middle Bronze Age urn




Investigation 15 (Intervention 5) Structure 3

Scale 1:200




Figure 50


F217 southeast facing section




F249 southeast facing section




F264 southeast facing section




F264 northwest facing section




F226 southeast facing section




F250 southeast facing section




F264 northeast facing section




F264 south facing section




F227 southeast facing section




F251 profile





F265 southeast facing section



F269 profile









Investigation 15 (Intervention 5) Structure 7 sections

Scale 1:50

Figure 53






Investigation 15 (Intervention 5) Structure 1

Scale 1:500



Figure 55



Investigation 15 (Intervention 5) Structure 1 sections

Scale 1:50

Figure 56

Running parallel to pit alignment Structure 1, approximately 225m to the northeast, a second pit alignment was identified (Structure 2), comprising a total of 65 pits, with 2 possible outliers running for a distance of 228m NW-SE (see Figure 54). When the area of investigation was extended slightly to the northwest in 2003, two further pits were identified on a similar alignment, approximately 90m to the northwest. The pits of Structure 2 are similar in shape and spacing to those of Structure 1, and are generally sub-rectangular in plan, measuring between 1.40m and 3.25m in length, and between 0.70m and 1.80m in width (Figure 57; Plate 14).

Two further pit alignments, of a different character, were identified in the southern area of Intervention 5SW (Structures 4 and 5)(Figure 58). Structure 4 comprised 7 pits, aligned NNW-SSE, spaced at intervals of between 10 and 13m for a distance of 72m. Structure 5 showed similar layout and spacing, running parallel to Structure 4 at a distance of 22m to 28m, for a length of 81m. The pits measured between 1.45m and 3.02m in maximum length, and up to 2.40m in depth, generally with a U-shaped profile (Figure 59). Sediments from within one of the pits (F216) were submitted for radiocarbon dating and produced a date of 4675 ± 60 BC, within the later Mesolithic to early Neolithic (AA-51419, GU-10384; Appendix H: Part 2).

An alignment of four pits, with a possible outlier to the south, were identified towards the centre of Intervention 5SW; despite finds of Mesolithic lithics and a Neolithic arrowhead within their backfills (Appendix C:Part 3), it appears that these features represent natural solution holes into which deposits and finds have later silted.

Roman corn-drying kiln

To the northwest of pit alignment Structure 2, a drying oven (Structure 6) was excavated (Plate 15). The feature comprised a series of pits and structural features, which were interpreted as a stoking pit, oven, flue and two post holes (Figures 60 and 61). F101 was allocated to the main oven chamber, within which an oval brick structure had been constructed (F159). This chamber was joined at its southwest side by a flue leading to a larger pit (F97), interpreted as an access point for the kiln, and to the northeast by a stoking pit or flue shaft (F102). A phase of refurbishment was identified, when the flue structures were supported by two further postholes (F162, F163).



Plate 11 F146, Intervention 5





Plate 12 F260, Intervention 5



Plate 13 F251 and F253, Intervention 5




Plate 14 F172, Intervention 5



Investigation 15 (Intervention 5) Structure 2 sections

Scale 1:50

Figure 57




Investigation 15 (Intervention 5) Structure 4 and Structure 5

Scale 1:500



Figure 58




Investigation 15 (Intervention 5) Structure 4 and Structure 5 sections

Scale 1:50

Figure 59

7710/970


7716/970



7710/966

7716/966





Investigation 15 (Intervention 5) Structure 6 sections

Scale 1:20

Figure 61

Archaeomagnetic dating of the feature revealed that the oven had last been fired between AD 100 and AD 170 (Appendix H: Part 3). No charred grain was identified, but the feature has been interpreted tentatively as a drying oven.

Roman ceramic was also produced from a number of small pits in the same area as the kiln. A linear feature, interpreted during excavation as a furrow, appears to follow the alignment of these pits, and it may be that these features represent some form of enclosure or structure associated with the kiln. A pit to the southeast of the kiln, measuring 4.02m by 1.48m, produced sherds of Roman amphora and Eboracum Ware, and may have been associated with this phase of activity.



Plate 15 F162 and F163, Intervention 5

Linear features

Numerous more modern linear features were identified within Intervention 5SW, providing evidence for land boundaries and agricultural activity. A ditch (F82), measuring 2.10m wide and up to 1.10m in depth was found to run on a NW-SE alignment for 327m, extending into Intervention 5NW (Plate 16). This feature cut ring ditch F148, suggesting a post-Bronze Age date, while the upper fills were found to contain early Roman pottery. The upper fill (C1239) has been interpreted as a possible Roman ploughsoil, dishing into the top of an earlier feature.



Plate 16 F82, Intervention 5

Parallel to this feature (which also runs parallel to pit alignment S1), a number of ditches and furrows were identified (including F188 and F133) and recorded.


Perpendicular to F82, a second ditch was found to run NE-SW for a distance of 325m towards the southern edge of the intervention (F150)(Figure 62). This was later found to represent part of F82; two fragments of post-medieval ceramic in the uppermost fill might therefore represent a late infilling of a hollow, or intrusive finds caused by later disturbance. A second ditch running NE-SW was identified to the west (F132), and also produced Roman pottery from its upper fills as well as a Roman coin, identified as a silver *denarius*, dating to between AD222 and 235 (C. Barclay *pers. comm.*; Plate 17). Later drainage ditches were found across the area following a similar alignment.

Well

Cutting one of the linear features across the Intervention, a well (F202; Structure 9) was identified and mapped, but initially not excavated further (Figure 63). Subsequently, a 2.50m section of the well was exposed during quarrying, and further recording of the feature took place (Figure 64). The feature (F276) was found to comprise a stone-lined shaft, beneath which a timber raft (F277) was identified.




Plate 17 Roman coin showing obverse side




Investigation 15 (Intervention 5) F150 post-excavation plan and sections

Scale 1:50


Figure 62




F202 Pre-excitation plan




F276 Pre-excitation plan




F276 C1661 Pre-excitation plan



F276 C1664 C1665 Pre-excitation plan




F277 C1662 Pre-excitation plan



F276 Post-excitation plan





Investigation 15 (Intervention 5) F202 - Structure 9 elevation

Scale 1:20

Figure 64

Beneath this, the shaft continued, but further excavation was not undertaken for safety reasons. Material within the well provided a 19th century date for the feature. Notably, the feature did not reach the current water table, suggesting a drop in water levels since that time.

A second possible well (F74) was identified in plan as a circular setting of modern brick and mortar, backfilled with modern debris, approximately 0.70m in diameter. This feature was not further excavated, and has been interpreted as either a well or cistern.

Isolated pits and scoops

A further 33 features were identified as isolated pits or scoops, which were found dispersed widely throughout the area, showing no clear distribution. These features ranged in diameter from 0.40m to 4.02m in maximum dimensions and measured up to 0.55m in depth. Few finds were recovered, other than occasional lithic artefacts, including a large assemblage of knapped flint found in pit F164, and an unassociated, and possibly residual, Mesolithic microlith (Plate 18 and 19)(Appendix C: Part 3).



Plate 18 Flint microliths

Ploughsoil, overburden

Within the southern part of the intervention, a large spread of material (C1513) was identified as an area of ploughsoil or overburden, from which ceramic of Roman date was recovered.





Plate 19 Flint microburin

4.13.3 Assessment

The results from Investigation 15 indicate periods of human activity in this area of the quarry from the Mesolithic to the modern day. A radiocarbon date for the pit alignment (Structure 5) and microliths redeposited in later features are indicative of some presence in the Mesolithic period, although the nature of this activity remains unclear. In the Bronze Age, the site became a funerary focus, with two possible barrows and a cremation cemetery; the burials date to the early-middle Bronze Age. In the Iron Age, a substantial ditch and two pit alignments represent the demarcation of boundaries and the division of the landscape. By the 2nd century, the presence of a possible corn-drying oven suggests the processing of grain, and as with later periods, the archaeological deposits indicate a shift towards an agricultural landscape.

4.14 INVESTIGATION 16 (INTERVENTION 5SE)

A watching brief was undertaken in the final quadrant of Intervention 5 (SE), during November to December 2003, and was completed in June 2004 (Quarry Phase 4)(Figure 65). Archaeological finds were dominated by two square-ditch enclosures, which provided evidence for Iron Age funerary activity.



KEY

- QUARRY BOUNDARY
- WATCHING BRIEF
- FURTHER EVALUATION



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.

Field Archaeology Specialists Ltd. University of York. Kings Meadow, York. Licence No. AL100026780

Location of Investigation 16

Scale 1:10000



Figure 65

4.14.1 Fieldwork Procedure

The fieldwork procedure followed the revised methodology adopted for Intervention 5SW, with the addition of an initial programme of test pitting and sieving undertaken prior to topsoiling. During November to December 2003, the western part of the intervention was subject to a watching brief, following the methodology outlined. Due to the lithic and pottery assemblage recovered from the ploughsoil/subsoil interface during Investigation 12 to the north, and prior to the extension of this area eastwards, 31 test pits were excavated. This involved a grid of three transects 20m apart, with test pits at 10m intervals, and a further four transects across the remaining area (Figure 66). Each test pit measured 1.0m x 1.0m, and was excavated to an average depth of 0.30m, in sieved 0.10m spits. During the watching brief, a final test pit was excavated to investigate the nature of the clay marl into which many of the features had been cut (F413).

4.14.2 Fieldwork Results

Test pits

Sieving of the contexts from the 31 test pits produced few finds (F347-F377; C1769-C1799). Only 19 items were identified, including a fragment of modern glass, iron nails, and pottery, which included modern and medieval vessel sherds, and a single fragment of Roman pottery. Three lithic artefacts were identified.

Watching brief

The watching brief undertaken in this area identified a total of 117 features, of which 46 proved to be caused by vegetation, and two were quarry test pits that were not recorded further (Figure 67). The remaining features comprised ditches, pits, scoops, furrows and hedgelines, and also included two square-ditch enclosures, one of which was probably of Iron Age date, while the other was undated.

Natural features

A number of potentially natural features were identified and tested. These generally comprised large, irregular pits, identified as tree boles (F294, F299, F301, F302, F309, F310, F312-5, F317, F318, F324-8, F330-4, F338-40, F343-4, F345-6, F378-9, F382, F386-7, F396-407, F424-5). A large number of these features were clustered to the south of Intervention 5SW, and around the parallel furrows to the north of the area.

A number of pits and scoops were tested and recorded, but produced homogenous sterile fills which suggest that they were simply natural undulations in the geology; as such they have been classified as natural features (F284-6, F289-92, F296, F419).

Quarry test pits

Two features were identified as test pits undertaken by the Quarry (F415, 418), and were not subject to further investigation.

Square barrows and inhumation burial

Two square-ditch enclosures (F304 and F320) were identified within Intervention 5SE, each measuring approximately 10m square, and upon excavation, the ditches were found to measure up to 0.75m and 0.42m in depth respectively (Figures 68 and 69; Plates 20 and 21). Each had been the subject of an episode of recutting




7800/1050

8250/1050

7800/800

8250/800




Investigation 16 (Intervention 5) Location of test pits

Scale 1:2000



Figure 66





Investigation 16 (Intervention 5) map of archaeological features


Scale 1:2000



Figure 67



Detail of F316	Scale 1:50	
----------------	------------	--



Investigation 16 (Intervention 5) F304 and F316



Scale 1:100		Figure 68
-------------	--	-----------

7859/1032

7869/1032

7859/1022

7869/1022



Investigation 16 (Intervention 5) F320

Scale 1:100



Detail of F335

Scale 1:20



Figure 69

(F307 and F337 respectively)(Figure 70). F304/307 was found at the western edge of the intervention (Plate 22), while F320/F337 was identified 160m to the north. These features are of a form often dated to the Iron Age, and are frequently interpreted as square barrows. Although F304/307 produced no dateable material, an Iron Age date was supported for F320/F337 following the excavation of a single inhumation (F335) within the ditch (F320), which predated its recutting (F337)(Plate 23). The skeletal remains provided a radiocarbon date of 135 ± 35 BC (SUERC-3780 GU-12284; Appendix H: Part 1) and were identified as belonging to a male, thirty to forty years of age (Appendix I: Part 2).

Horse burial

At a distance of approximately 9m to the east of F304/307, a sub-rectangular pit was excavated, and was found to contain the remains of a quadruple horse burial. The burial was badly plough-damaged, and while two skeletons were partially complete and excavated *in situ*, the remains of two further individuals were identified only by zooarchaeological assessment (F316)(Plate 24) (Appendix G: Part 1). The pit itself measured 2.90m by 2.40m, and up to 0.60m in depth. A horse femur was selected for radiocarbon dating and returned a date of $AD 50 \pm 35$ (SUERC-2974 GU-11688C; Appendix H: Part 4).

Linear and curvilinear features

At the eastern edge of the intervention, a length of curvilinear ditch was excavated, running approximately east-west. Excavation revealed the feature to measure up to 0.30m deep, and Neolithic pottery was recovered from the backfill of the feature (Appendix D: Part 3).

A number of linear features were identified in the western part of Intervention. An L-shaped section of ditch, orientated NW-SE and NE-SW was investigated in three segments (F306), and measured 3.30m in width and up to 1.30m deep (Plates 25 and 26). Finds recovered from the backfill of this feature comprised early Roman and Roman pottery, with some flint. This ditch represents the convergence of ditches F82 and F150, excavated during the watching brief in Intervention 5SW.

To the northwest, a series of five linear features was identified running parallel on a NE-SW alignment, for



Plate 20 F304, Intervention 5, post-excavation




Plate 21 F320, Intervention 5, post-excavation



Plate 22 F304 and F307, Intervention 5, section L



Plate 23 F335, Intervention 5



Investigation 16 (Intervention 5) F304 and F320 sections

Scale 1:50



Figure 70

distances of up to 104m and extending beyond the northern limit of the watching brief. These features were found to be uniform in their spacing, measuring between 9 and 11 m apart. Upon excavation, four of these features (F311, F319, F321, F329, F341) were found to be up to 1.25m wide and up to 0.22m deep, and were interpreted as furrows or remnants of hedgerows. Ceramic material from F311 provided a post-medieval date for the backfilling of the feature. In the later phase of watching brief undertaken in Intervention 5SE, a further nine linear features were found to follow the same alignment and regular spacing (F388-394, F411).

Bisecting a number of these features, a linear feature was found to run on a NW-SE alignment for a distance of 30.0m (F342); this appears to represent a continuation of an extensive ditch (F395), which runs for 232m across the area of Intervention 5SE that was stripped in June 2004. Medieval pottery was found within the backfill of F342 (Appendix D: Part 5). To the southwest, shorter ditches were found to run on the same alignment (F308, F323), and at the southern limit of the intervention, three further furrows were also found to be orientated NW-SE, again running approximately 10m (10.2m) apart (F288, F293, F301, F303). F288 was found to follow the same alignment as F188 and F133 in Intervention 5SW. Shorter lengths of linear features were identified at the northern limits of the intervention (F280, F282); F282 produced medieval ceramic (Appendix D: Part 5).

At the eastern end of Intervention 5SE, a series of curvilinear features, running roughly north-south, were identified and excavated. These appear to represent a series of at least six gullies (F380, F381, F383, F384, F385, F414), following very similar alignments. The earliest of the sequence, F380 and F381, were found to run parallel for a distance of approximately 100m. A third, F383, runs roughly parallel to these features, to the east, but for a shorter distance. All three features were cut by a subsequent ditch, which ran for a similar distance to F380, following the same curving route. Finally, a more modern feature, F385, was found to cut F384, and contained a ceramic drainage pipe.

Further drainage features were identified a short distance to the west of these gullies. A stone-lined culvert was identified, running in a NNE-SSW alignment, associated with a ditch which appears to have been recut at least once (F417, F420, F421, F422).

All but the latest of these north-south features appear to have been cut by a ditch (interpreted as a hedgeline or boundary ditch) running perpendicular to F417 (F416). This had cut a narrow gully on the same alignment



Plate 24 F316, Intervention 5, horse burial



Plate 25 F306, Intervention 5



Plate 26 F306, Intervention 5

F423, which had also produced no dateable finds.

Isolated pits

Within the intervention area, a number of isolated pits were identified, which were subject to testing and recording. Three such features (F408, F409, F410) were found in a gap between boundary ditches F394 and F395. It was suggested during investigation that these features may represent truncated postholes related to some kind of gate setting.

Three pits/scoops (F295, F297, F298, F305) were found to measure between 0.40 and 1.50m in diameter, and contained charcoal-rich fills, possibly indicative of *in situ* burning, and lithic artefacts (Plate 27). These were found to be located in the southern part of the intervention, with F295 representing an outlier at the eastern edge of the area.



Plate 27 Flint drill (right) and piercer (left)

The remaining pits (F283, F322, F427) were found to measure between 0.88m and 2.0m in diameter; of these, only F322 produced any finds, which comprised flecks of CBM.

Two pits were found to contain modern sheep burials (F287, F281).

Well

Cutting the WNW-ESE aligned ditch (F416) in the northeastern corner of the intervention, the watching brief identified the remains of a well (F426). Sub-rectangular in shape, the well was found to measure 2.6m x 2.1m, and contained a circular, stone-built shaft, c.1.75m in diameter. The backfill of the shaft was found to contain very modern material, including barbed wire and nylon rope (Plate 28).



Plate 28 F426 well, Intervention 5

4.14.3 Assessment

The watching brief undertaken in Investigation 16 revealed some evidence for prehistoric activity in the southern part of the quarry site, although most of the features were heavily plough-truncated, and several could not be dated. A single ditch produced Neolithic ceramic (F336); this was in the same area as one of the charcoal-filled pits, which also produced fragments of flint, indicating human activity of uncertain date.

The watching brief revealed evidence for Iron Age activity in the area, in the form of two possible square barrows and the horse burial. The recutting of both of the ditches suggests at least two phases of use, and the presence of dated burials between the earlier and later ditches places F320/337 firmly in the Iron Age. Although F304/307 produced no independent dating evidence, the similarity of the two features in terms of size and form led to an Iron Age date also being ascribed to this feature. Neither produced evidence for a central burial. The quadruple horse burial identified within 10m of the southern barrow produced a radiocarbon date in the late Iron Age.

The remainder of the features appear to represent medieval or post-medieval division of the land for agricultural purposes, representing hedgerows, gullies or furrows.

4.15 INVESTIGATION 17 (INTERVENTION 9)

A topographic survey was undertaken on an area lying to the northeast of the Nosterfield Quarry, known as the Flasks (Quarry phase 5a)(Figure 71). The area of investigation covered an area of 8.1 hectares, divided into two fields (Field A and Field B), and is characterised by poor drainage (Plate 29). The survey was undertaken during August 2003 in conjunction with an auger survey of the same area (Investigation 18). The results revealed a number of anomalies which were then subject to archaeological evaluation (Intervention 19).



Plate 29 The Flasks

4.15.1 Fieldwork Procedure

Survey data was captured using a total station theodolite, and following downloading from the instrument, was used to create a contour map. Additional points were collected for the hachure plan, and once plotted, were taken into the field so that the drawing could be completed by hand. Hand-drawn plans were subsequently digitised to produce an integrated digital record.

The feature numbers allocated for this investigation began a new index at Feature 1 (Appendix B: Part 2).


4.15.2 Fieldwork Results

The contour and hachure plans illustrate the topography of the Flasks (Figures 72 and 73), and revealed a number of anomalies within the area, primarily within Field A. Generally, the ground was found to rise from south to north, with the lowest point calculated to be 38.84m AOD.

In the southern field (Field A), to the southeast, two large mounds were identified (Feature 1 and 2), reaching heights of 41.75m AOD. A third mound, Feature 3, was found to reach a height of 41.06m AOD. The contour map demonstrated that the southern mounds (Feature 1 and 2) occupied a fairly level area of land, which varied by only 1.0m over a distance of 300m.

A number of narrow channels were also identified in Field A, running in a southerly direction from the northern field boundary; none appeared to extend to more than 40m to 50m in length. The surface of Field A was also found to contain a number of broad, flat hollows, possibly representing the remains of shallow ponds. At the southern edge of Field A, a shallow hollow ran down to a shallow ford across the adjacent stream.

Three sink holes were identified; Feature 7 and Feature 9 lay within Field A, while Feature 8 was situated at the southern edge of Field B. The largest of these, Feature 8, was found to be 10m in diameter, and was situated



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.

Field Archaeology, Specialised Use University of York (King's Manor) Licence No. AL100026780

Location of Investigation 17

Scale 1:10000



Figure 71




Investigation 17 (Intervention 9) contour map at 0.20m intervals

Scale 1:3000



Figure 72



Investigation 17 (Intervention 9) hachure plan

Scale 1:3000



Figure 73



in a large hollow.

Two linear banks were identified to either side of Field A (Feature 4 and 5). Feature 4 was found to run along the line of the eastern boundary of Field A, and has therefore been interpreted as a field boundary; it appears to have been formed from the upcast of an adjacent drainage ditch. Feature 5 runs along a similar alignment, although its function is less clear.

During investigations, a single sherd of Samian pottery was identified, recovered from an area of animal disturbance on the southern slope of Feature 3 (Appendix C: Part 5).

4.15.3 Assessment

The topographic survey of the site revealed a number of anomalies that were deemed worthy of further investigation. One of the shallow channels running across the site, and one of the large mounds (Feature 1) were selected for further archaeological evaluation, in order to ascertain whether they were man-made. The remainder of the features were considered to be geological.


4.16 INVESTIGATION 18 (INTERVENTION 7)

During August 2003, an auger survey was carried out of the same 8.1 hectare site that had previously been subject to a topographic survey (Quarry Phase 5b)(Figure 74). The area of investigation is known to have been occupied previously by a prehistoric lake; the aim of the survey was to investigate the depth, extent and character of the deposits in this area, and to attempt to identify the margins of the lake. Palynological studies and radiocarbon dating of selected sediments revealed that the lake is likely to have disappeared in the mid-9th millennium BC, and provided evidence for the changing nature of the landscape in this area.

4.16.1 Fieldwork Procedure

The auger survey was carried out based on a grid of 20m squares, covering the whole 8.1 hectares (Figure 75). Where geological anomalies such as ponded areas and sink holes had previously been identified, further augering was undertaken. Notably, one such location (Auger 210) lay outside the main area of investigation, over a large sink hole.

Augering was undertaken to a depth of 1.50m, using a 30mm gouge auger, in order to create a deposit model across the site, and the deposits and sediments identified were recorded using Munsell colour charts (Phase 1). Following the initial survey, two auger holes were selected for further detailed environmental study on the advice of Mairead Rutherford and Dr Jim Innes (Department of Geography, University of Durham)(Phase 2). The sediments from these cores were subject to pollen analysis as part of the Swale-Ure Washlands Project, funded by English Heritage as part of the Aggregates Levy Sustainability Fund.



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.

Field Archaeology, Specialised Use, University of York, Kings Meadow, York, YO10 5DD, UK. Licence No. AL100002780




Location of Investigation 18

Scale 1:10000



Figure 74



Investigation 18 (Intervention 7) location of augers

Scale 1:3000



Figure 75

4.16.2 Fieldwork Results

Phase 1

Buried strata were measured and described at 216 locations across the area of the Flasks. The resulting deposit model revealed that buried deposits were deeper within Field A, to the south of the site (Figure 76 and 77). In this area, most of the strata measured in excess of 0.50m in depth, and the majority were above 1.50m deep. The upper layers comprised a light, friable silty loam topsoil, 0.30m thick, overlying a coarse sandy gravel subsoil. Occasionally the topsoil was represented by a desiccated peat over subsoil; where deeper deposits occurred, this gave way to a well-humified peat. These deeper peats often became waterlogged at depths of 0.40m, and in places reached up to 0.80m thick, overlying grey layers of sandy silts or silty clays (Auger 30), silty sands (Auger hole 7), or sand (Auger 39). Most of these silts, clays and sands contained macroscopic plant remains, and the peat retained a strong organic odour. Small mollusc shells were present in some of the gleyed layers (Auger 65, 69, 74). Auger 48 produced flecks of charcoal within a clayey silt matrix at depths of 0.78m to 0.85m.

Occasionally the strata contained a second layer of peat, sealed beneath grey silts, sands and clays (Augers 42, 50, 74, 84, 85, 94, 101), and it is possible that this forms a consistent layer across all of the area, though falling beneath the maximum 1.5m depth of the survey.

In Field B, the deeper strata were restricted to isolated hollows, which were found to contain peat and the basal layers of grey sand and silts. Only in larger sink holes was the maximum depth of 1.50m achieved.

Calcareous layers in Augers 24, 25, 26 have been interpreted as a marl sediment which in-filled the prehistoric lake.


Phase 2

Two auger locations (Auger 69 and 210) were selected as representative of the overall sequence of buried deposits, and were therefore subject to further environmental sampling. The palynology of Auger 69 revealed evidence for the changing landscape of the surrounding area from the Late Glacial period to the Holocene. Initially, a series of organic sediments were laid down within the lake, during a temperate Late Glacial interstadial, at which time the area was characterised by birch and willow woodlands. During the subsequent stadial, as the climate cooled, the landscape was characterised by open vegetation, or sedge tundra, before reverting to birch and willow woodland during the temperate Holocene, at which point the lake is believed to have been largely in-filled (Appendix E: Part 5).

Palynological study of Core 210, within Shake Hole (sink hole) 1, revealed a similar sequence (Appendix E: Part 6). Sediments had collected within the hollow as a result of the dissolution of the underlying Magnesian limestone, and the pollen contained within it revealed a landscape initially dominated by deciduous woodland, giving way to more open grassland with some cereals.

4.16.3 Assessment

The depth of sediments identified within Field A of the Flasks strongly suggests that this area lay within the




Investigation 18 (Intervention 7) deposit map

Scale 1:3000



Figure 76



Investigation 18 (Intervention7) location of the peat deposits

Scale 1:3000



Figure 77

extent of the prehistoric lake, and the analysis of pollen from within these deposits revealed evidence for the surrounding Late Glacial and Holocene landscape. The nearby sink hole revealed evidence for widespread clearance of surrounding woodland at an unspecified date; this will hopefully be clarified by radiocarbon dating.

4.17 INVESTIGATION 19 (INTERVENTION 8)

During September 2003, an archaeological evaluation was carried out within the area of the Flasks (Quarry Phase 5b)(Figure 78). Two trenches were excavated, directed at two anomalies highlighted during the topographic survey, with the intention of ascertaining whether they were natural or man-made (Figure 79). Excavation of a large mound revealed the feature to be geological, and investigation of a narrow channel produced evidence to suggest that it had been formed as an erosion gully.

4.17.1 Fieldwork Procedure

A small three-ton excavator, fitted with a toothless bucket, was used to open the excavation trenches. Trench A was situated over a linear gully, in the northern half of Field A, aligned east-west and measuring 20m in length and 2m wide. Trench B was located over the large mound (Feature 1) identified during topographic survey. The trench measured 30m by 2m, and was orientated NE-SW. In each trench, the topsoil was removed using the machine, and the surface of the underlying subsoil was hand-cleaned. The sections were cut, cleaned, and the whole trench photographed. One of the longer sections in each trench was selected for recording.


4.17.2 Fieldwork Results

In Trench A, removal of a dark brown silt topsoil revealed two areas of subsoil: a gravel subsoil (C1006) to the west, and a marl (C1007) to the east. These were divided by a linear feature, F1, which comprised a broad, shallow ditch, 3.60m wide and 0.43m deep, filled with a coarse peat (C1001). The lack of evidence for upcast, and the presence of peat within the feature, suggest that the channel was not excavated for the extraction of peat or for drainage purposes. The presence of two distinct types of geology led to the interpretation of this feature as a geological anomaly. Possible evidence was also noted in section for previously unrecorded ploughing in the area, although this convoluted subsoil interface may have been the result of periodic waterlogged conditions (Figure 80).

In Trench B, following removal of topsoil, a 'dirty' subsoil was encountered, at a depth of 0.30m. A dump of burnt stones was identified on the top of the mound, within a shallow scoop (F2/F3), but represented the only apparent man-made feature. Two sondages, excavated through the apparent subsoil make-up of the mound, led to an interpretation of the feature as a geological anomaly, disturbed though animal activity. Finds within the topsoil included flints, animal bone, and medieval to post-medieval pottery.

4.17.3 Assessment

The investigation of both anomalies revealed them to be geological, and the few finds produced might suggest that human activity in the region occurred sporadically and that this particular area was not densely occupied.



Reproduced from Ordnance Survey with the permission of The Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office. © Crown copyright.


Field Archaeology, Specialised Use University of York (Museum) Licence No. AL100002780

Location of Investigation 19

Scale 1:10000



Figure 78




Investigation 19 (Intervention 8) location of trenches

Scale 1:3000



Figure 79





Investigation 19 (Intervention 8) trench sections

Scale 1:100



Figure 80